Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models



Motivation

Let $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot X + \varepsilon$ be the classical simple linear model and let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)'$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)'$ be *i.i.id*. samples from the model and z = (1, x) the corresponding design matrix. The least squares estimator is given by:

$$\hat{eta}_{ls} = (z'z)^{-1}z'y$$

and is linear in y but not linear in x.

Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models

Partially Identified Models

- If either X or Y or both X and Y are only observed in intervals, the model becomes generally only partially identified.
- One possible approach to cope with interval valued data is to simply collect the obtained estimates from a classical procedure for all precise data compatible with the observed intervals.
- If only Y is interval-valued, because of the linearity of the least squares estimator, for the application of least squares, this collection is easy enough to calculate
- If also X is interval-valued, the calculation of this collection is very hard.
- For other more sophisticated estimators the problem is getting worse.

• • = • • = •

Another estimator

Theil-Sen estimator (simplest form, only slope):

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \mathsf{median}_{i \neq j} \quad \beta_1^{i,.}$$

with

$$\beta_1^{i,j} = \frac{y_j - y_i}{x_j - x_i}.$$

For $i \neq j$ it is simple to calculate the upper bound $\beta_{1u}^{i,j}$ and the lower bound $\beta_{1l}^{i,j}$ of $\beta_{1}^{i,j}$ as the precise data x_i, x_j and y_i, y_j varies in between the observed intervals. Because the median is a monotone function of the data one can simply calculate

$$\hat{\beta}_{1u} = \text{median}_{i \neq j} \quad \beta_{1u}^{i,j}$$

 $\hat{\beta}_{1l} = \text{median}_{i \neq j} \quad \beta_{1l}^{i,j}$

as (non sharp) bounds for the maximal and minimal values for the Theil-Sen estimator.

Problem:

These bounds are not sharp because one data point (x_i, y_i) has impact on many different $\beta_1^{i,j}$ at the same time, but the maximization/minimization of the $\beta_1^{i,j}$ was done independently from each other for every $i \neq j$. Idea: Choose not all pairs (i,j) with $i \neq j$ but a set M of pairs (i,j) such that every i and j occurs only exactly one time to obtain

$$\hat{\beta}_{1u}^{M} = \text{median}_{(i,j)\in M} \quad \beta_{1u}^{i,j} \\ \hat{\beta}_{1l}^{M} = \text{median}_{(i,j)\in M} \quad \beta_{1l}^{i,j}.$$

In fact, $\hat{\beta}_{1u}^M \hat{\beta}_{1l}^M$ actually correspond to specific data points compatible with the interval data for which this "freely" maximized/minimized values are actually obtained, so the bounds are sharp for the modified estimator

$$\hat{eta}_1^M := \mathsf{median}_{(i,j)\in M} \quad eta_1^{i,j}$$

(but the estimator $\hat{\beta}_1^M$ is often less efficient than $\hat{\beta}_1$).

Further modifications:

- use not only the median but other monotone location estimators and
- weight the $\beta_1^{i,j}$ such that the variability of the obtained estimator is minimal.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへぐ

Example: weighted mean, precise case

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be already in increasing order. For maximal efficiency of β_1 take

$$M = \{(1, N), (2, N - 1), \dots (N/2, N/2 + 1)\}$$

and the weight for $\beta_1^{i,j}$ proportional to $(x_j - x_i)^2$. For the intercept take

$$\beta_0^{i,j} = y_i - \beta_1^{i,j} \cdot x_i \quad (= y_j - \beta_1^{i,j} \cdot x_j).$$

(And for an arbitrary linear combination $\langle d, \beta \rangle = d_0\beta_0 + d_1\beta_1$ take $\beta_d^{i,j} = d_0\beta_0^{i,j} + d_1\beta_1^{i,j}$.) Then choose weights that minimize the variability of the corresponding estimator of β_0 (or β_d).

 \implies The obtained estimator is then a linear form in y.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のへで

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Example: x = (1, 2, \dots, 10)} \\ \textit{Estimation-matrix of least squares estimator:} \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0.40 & 0.33 & 0.27 & 0.20 & 0.13 & 0.07 & 0.00 & -0.07 & -0.13 & -0.20 \\ -0.05 & -0.04 & -0.03 & -0.02 & -0.01 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 0.05 \end{array} \right) \\ \textit{Variability under homoscedastic errors:} \qquad \beta_0: \quad \frac{7}{15}\sigma^2 \approx 0.467\sigma^2 \\ \beta_1: \quad \frac{12}{990}\sigma^2 \approx 0.012\sigma^2 \end{array}$

Estimation matrix of free weighted mean estimator:

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0.48 & 0.40 & 0.29 & 0.17 & 0.05 & -0.04 & -0.10 & -0.11 & -0.09 & -0.05 \\ -0.05 & -0.04 & -0.03 & -0.02 & -0.01 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 0.05 \end{pmatrix}$ $Variability under homoscedastic errors: \qquad \beta_0: \quad \frac{7}{15}\sigma^2 \approx 0.533\sigma^2 \\ \beta_1: \quad \approx 0.012\sigma^2 \\ \Longrightarrow Efficiency of free weighted mean estimator: \qquad \beta_0: \quad \approx 0.88 \\ \beta_1: \quad 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Example: $X_1, \ldots, X_{100} \sim \mathcal{N}(10, 1)$, Entries of the Estimation matrix (index corresponds to the ordered covariate values):



Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models

Image: Image:

- **- - - -**

æ





Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models

æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Relative efficiency for different settings and estimators (precise case)

Different settings ($N = 1000, X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$):

- standard setting
- outliers in dependent variable (,,one wild": 10% of data randomly chosen and values multiplied by 10)
- **3** outliers in independent variable
- 4 error term t-distributed with 3 degrees of freedom
- **5** error term standard cauchy distributed

Different Estimators:

- least squares
- 2 robust M-estimator rlm (psi = psi.huber)
- MM-type estimator with bi-square redescending score function (with 50% breakdown point and 95% asymptotic efficiency for normal errors)
- ④ least quantile of squares (lqs, q=0.5)
- **5** different "free" estimators based on :
 - median
 - 2 weighted median
 - trimmed weighted Hodges-Lehmann estimator with winsorized weights (wwthl)

- - E + - - E +

э.

estimated relative efficiencies based on nrep = 10000 samples:

setting	lm	weighted median	wwthl	median	lqs	rlm	Imrob
1	1.00	0.53	0.61	0.40	0.08	0.95	0.95
2	0.00	0.08	0.27	0.09	0.12	0.11	1.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.11	0.00	1.00
4	0.55	0.57	0.59	0.42	0.21	1.00	1.00
5	0.00	0.48	0.41	0.36	0.68	0.79	1.00

Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - の Q ()

Imprecise case

- For maximal/minimal $\hat{\beta}_0^M, \hat{\beta}_1^M$ take bounds as described above.
- If one is interested in the whole identification region IR and not only in projections one can work with support functions and estimate for every $d \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the value of $\sup_{\beta \in IR} \langle d, \beta \rangle$ as

$$\beta_{du} = \sup_{x \in [\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}], y \in [\underline{y}, \overline{\mathbf{y}}]} \beta_d$$

with

$$\beta_d = I\left(\beta_d^{1,N}, \beta_d^{2,N-1}\dots, \beta_d^{\frac{N}{2},\frac{N}{2}+1}\right)$$

where l is an appropriate monotone location estimator (with weights w(d) minimizing variability).

- The obtained estimate of the support function of the identified set is then generally no longer a support function of some set.
- ⇒ Project the estimated function onto the space of support functions in a certain way.

Example

Identification regions for different estimators illustrated as the predicted boundaries $\inf_{\beta \in IR} \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ and $\sup_{\beta \in IR} \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ for different covariate values x, where $X_1, \ldots, X_{50} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $\underline{Y} = X - 1$, $\overline{Y} = X + 1$.





Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models



Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models



Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models



Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models

Minimal slope for *Imrob*:



Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models

æ

∃ >

< A

Minimal slope for *Imrob*:



Utilizing Support Functions and Monotone Location Estimators for the Estimation of Partially Identified Regression Models

글▶ 글